

Symmetries and conservation laws as constraints for the modeling and numerical simulation of turbulent flows

Maurits H. Silvis* and Roel Verstappen

*Johann Bernoulli Institute for Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Groningen,
Nijenborgh 9, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands*

April 3, 2018

Abstract It is well known that the governing equations of fluid dynamics, the Navier–Stokes equations, are invariant under transformations like instantaneous rotations of the coordinate system and the Galilean transformation. These transformations, or symmetries of the equations, play an important physical role because they ensure that the description of fluids is the same in all inertial frames of reference. They further relate to conservation and scaling laws [2]. It has since long been realized that it is desirable that these symmetries are also satisfied in large-eddy simulations [1, 5].

Using large-eddy simulations one aims to predict the large-scale behavior of turbulent flows. This is done by numerically solving the Navier–Stokes equations, on grids that are too coarse to resolve all the relevant physical details. An extra forcing term, called a turbulence or subgrid-scale model, is introduced to model the effects of the (unresolved) small-scale motions on the (resolved) large scales.

We present a framework of constraints for the creation and assessment of subgrid-scale models for large-eddy simulation [3, 4], based on the idea that it is desirable that subgrid-scale models are consistent with the symmetries, as well as with other mathematical and physical properties of the Navier–Stokes equations. We also discuss issues of numerical implementation, including that of conservation of energy in simulations [6]. Finally, we wonder how the symmetries of the Navier–Stokes equations can be satisfied on the discrete level.

References

- [1] Oberlack, M. “Invariant modeling in large-eddy simulation of turbulence”. In: *Annual Research Briefs*, (1997). Center for Turbulence Research, Stanford University, pp. 3–22.
- [2] Razafindralandy, D., Hamdouni, A., and Oberlack, M. “Analysis and development of subgrid turbulence models preserving the symmetry properties of the Navier–Stokes equations”. In: *Eur. J. Mech. B-Fluid*. 26 (2007), pp. 531–550. DOI: [10.1016/j.euromechflu.2006.10.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euromechflu.2006.10.003).
- [3] Silvis, M. H., Remmerswaal, R. A., and Verstappen, R. “A Framework for the Assessment and Creation of Subgrid-Scale Models for Large-Eddy Simulation”. In: *Progress in Turbulence VII: Proceedings of the iTi Conference in Turbulence 2016*. Ed. by Örlü, R., Talamelli, A., Oberlack, M., and Peinke, J. Springer International Publishing, 2017, pp. 133–139. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-319-57934-4_19](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-57934-4_19).
- [4] Silvis, M. H., Remmerswaal, R. A., and Verstappen, R. “Physical consistency of subgrid-scale models for large-eddy simulation of incompressible turbulent flows”. In: *Phys. Fluids* 29, 015105 (2017). DOI: [10.1063/1.4974093](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4974093).
- [5] Speziale, C. G. “Galilean invariance of subgrid-scale stress models in the large-eddy simulation of turbulence”. In: *J. Fluid Mech.* 156 (1985), pp. 55–62. DOI: [10.1017/S0022112085001987](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112085001987).
- [6] Verstappen, R. W.C. P. and Veldman, A. E. P. “Symmetry-preserving discretization of turbulent flow”. In: *J. Comput. Phys.* 187 (2003), pp. 343–368. DOI: [10.1016/S0021-9991\(03\)00126-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9991(03)00126-8).

*Email address: m.h.silvis@rug.nl